九州工業大学での超高速衝突研究の20年の歩み
20-Year History of Hypervelocity Impact Researches in Kyushu Institute of Technology

○赤星保浩 (九工大)
○Yasuhiro AKAHOSHI (Kyutech)

1997年2月より超高速衝突実験を開始し、来年2月で丸20年経過する。この20年間で、バンパーシールド開発、非球形状飛翔体によるデブリ雲形成、太陽電池パドルの衝突誘起放電、超高速衝突イジェクタ実験の国際標準化（ISO11227の制定）、デブリ回収用銤発射装置の開発などに取り組んできた。本講演ではこれまでの成果を紹介するとともに、今後の研究の方向性について言及する。

I've started hypervelocity impact research at February, 1997. About 20 years have passed since its start. During these period, I and my students have studied on development of bumper shield, formation of debris cloud due to impact of non-spherical projectile, discharge of solar panel due to hypervelocity impact, international standardization of hypervelocity impact test procedure of ejecta tests (ISO11227), development of harpoon system to recovery space debris and so on. In this talk, I would like to introduce the past research results and the future of research direction of space debris.
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九州工業大学
平成30年度改組計画等の概要

（平成28年8月10日）

国立大学法人 九州工業大学

### 学科の再編（平成30年度）

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>工学部（旧）</th>
<th>工学部（新）</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>建設社会工学科</td>
<td>建築学コース／国土デザインコース</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>機械知能工学科</td>
<td>機械工学コース／知能制御工学コース</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>総合システム工学科</td>
<td>宇宙システム工学コース／電気宇宙システム工学コース</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電気電子工学科</td>
<td>電気エネルギー工学コース／電子システム工学コース</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>応用化学科</td>
<td>応用化学コース</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>マテリアル工学科</td>
<td>マテリアル工学コース</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A new department of space system engineering will start at April, 2018.

本計画は、設置認可申請のための大学による構想であり、変更する場合があります。

Satellite Venture Business Laboratory
(Funded in FY1995, Constructed in FY1996)

Two-Stage Light Gas Gun was installed at Room 203 on 2nd floor, February 1997, and operated here until March 2003.
Two-Stage Light Gas Gun (25mm/5mm) 
Delivered by J.Osawa Group Co., Ltd., 
Manufactured by Sanwagiken

First Image taken by IMACON792

Delay Time for Flash (µsec) 90
Delay Time for Imacon (µsec) 110
Framing Rate (frames/sec) 5 x 10^5
Velocity : 1.59 km/s

Large Two-Stage Light Gas Gun was moved from Tohoku Univ. to Kyutech 2002, and operated at June, 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Pump Tube</th>
<th>Launch Tube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bore</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Bore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>[mm]</td>
<td>[mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two-stage light gas guns were moved from SVBL to this new impact test facility at March, 2003.

Hypervelocity Impact Test Facility was re-named as Center for Hypervelocity Impact Tests at July, 2011.

Two-Stage Light Gas Guns at Kyutech

Small Gun (6/20)  
V=2-6km/s

Large Gun (5, 14, 30/60)  
V=2-5km/s
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Whipple Bumper

---

**Projectile**
- diameter: d (cm)
- density: \( \rho \) (g/cc)
- mass: M (g)
- velocity: \( V \) (km)
- angle from normal: \( \theta \) (deg)

**Spacing**:
- \( \rho \) = \( V \) \( \cos \theta \)

**Bumper**
- Thickness: \( t_b \) (cm)
- density: \( \rho_b \) (g/cc)

**Rear wall**
- Thickness: \( t_W \) (cm)
- yield strength: \( \sigma \) (ksi)
Ballistic Limit Curve

- Whipple dcrit @ 0 deg
- monolithic dcrit @ 0 deg

Ballistic Limit Improvement due to Shield Standoff $\Delta d_{CRI}$

Velocity Range:
- Ballistic Regime
- Fragmentation & Partial Melt Regime
- Complete Melt Regime

State of Debris Cloud:
- Few solid fragments (for Al on Al impacts)
- Many (increasing with velocity) solid fragments & liquid droplets
- Fine droplets, few solid fragments, some vapor

Critical Al Diameter (cm)

Velocity (km/s)
Ellipsoidal Projectiles

Aspect Ratio: $f = c/a$

---

Ellipsoidal Projectiles

**Material:** Al2024-T4  
**Mass:** 0.5g

- **Sphere**  
  $f = 1.0$  
  $a=b=c=7.00\text{mm}$

- **Prolate Ellipsoid**  
  $f = 2.0$  
  $a=b=5.56\text{mm}$  
  $c=11.12\text{mm}$

- **Oblate Ellipsoid**  
  $f = 0.5$  
  $a=b=8.84\text{mm}$  
  $c=4.42\text{mm}$
Fig. Shape of Current Sabot and Ellipsoidal Projectile
(a=5.560mm, c=11.778mm)

Aspect Ratio : 2.12

Ellipsoidal Projectiles

Yaw Angle: $\Psi$
Projective Area: PA

Impact Axis
Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KTS 03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTS 04</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target: Al6061-T6 Plate, 2mm in Thickness

Velocity Distribution

Sphere (PA=154)  f=2.0 (PA=183)  f=2.0 (PA=128)
ψ=67.5          ψ=67.5          ψ=30.0

Prof. Sato gave me much information on Flash X-ray photography.

This study was partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research.
Distributions of Fragments

Sphere (PA=154)  f=2.0 (PA=183)
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Debris impact on the solar array

Debris Impact on the solar array

Mechanical damage
- Surface damage of the cell
- Destruction of the structure

Electrical damage
- Discharge through plasma generated by debris impact

Impact crater on the solar array of EURECA
**Sustained discharge mechanism**

- Generation of high density plasma by debris impact
- Discharge through the plasma (PA: Primary Arc)
  - Sufficient heat energy
- (TSA: Temporary Sustained Arc)
  - If TSA is sustained
- (PSA: Permanent Sustained Arc)
- Decrease and loss of power generation capacity of the solar array

---

**Solar array coupon**

- External circuit
- Sabot separation plate
- Mine detector
- Blast tank
- Two-stage light gas gun

**Velocity measurement section**

- Polyester film

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Mass [mg]</th>
<th>Velocity [km/s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUJ-2</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5~4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2017</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.0~5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Kyushu Institute of Technology*
Solar array coupon

Front view

Cross-section view

Kyushu Institute of Technology

35

Triple probe

Single probe

Current - Voltage characteristics

Unsteady plasma

Triple probe

Oscilloscope

Kyushu Institute of Technology

36
External circuit

Applied current and voltage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage [V]</th>
<th>Current [A]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurement results of plasma

Electron temperature: \( T_e \)
Electron density: \( N_e \)

Plasma environment on the Low Earth Orbit

- \( T_e : 0.09 \text{ [eV]} \)
- \( N_e : 1 \times 10^{11} \text{ [m}^{-3}\text{]} \)

Measurement results (Minimum value)

- \( T_e : 0.93 \text{ [eV]} \)
- \( N_e : 1.34 \times 10^{15} \text{ [m}^{-3}\text{]} \)

Relation of electron density and electron temperature to impact velocity

High temperature and density plasma are generated
Results of TSA/PSA generation

Time histories of currents and voltage range after impact:

- **TSA**
- **PSA**

Research on discharge due to hypervelocity impact was shutdown because of funding problem 2009.

On the other hand, EMI (Ernst Mach Institute) started research on discharge due to hypervelocity impact around 2010.
SUSCEPTIBILITY OF SOLAR ARRAYS TO MICROMETEOROID AND SPACE DEBRIS IMPACT

Martin Schimmerohm (1), Martin Rott (2), Andreas Gerhard (3), Frank Schäfer (1), Gianfelice D’Accolti (4)

(1) Fraunhofer EMI, Eckerstr. 4, 79104 Freiburg, Germany, Email: martin.schimmerohn@emi.fraunhofer.de
(2) Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany, Email: m.rott@lrw.tum.de
(3) Airbus D&S GmbH, Robert-Koch-Str. 1, 82024 Taufkirchen, Germany, Email: andreas.gerhard@astrium.eds.net
(4) ESA/ESTEC: Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands, Email: gianfelice.daccolti@esa.int

ABSTRACT

The susceptibility of solar arrays to micrometeoroid and space debris impact was studied in a comprehensive study to clarify 1) whether, 2) in which manner and 3) under which conditions GEO telecom satellite solar arrays are affected by hypervelocity impact events. Impact induced discharges have been generated in highly instrumented impact experiments using a two-staged light gas guns and a plasma dynamic accelerator. The discharges were found to be temporary and without consequences for the functioning of the power generating network of state-of-the-art solar arrays designs. Permanently sustained destructive discharges have been generated for current-voltage characteristics that are significantly exceeding current ESD safe levels. The highest risk of impact induced failure of GEO solar arrays is posed by micrometeoroids and space debris hitting transfer harness cable bundles on its rear side.

European Space Power Conference, pp. 619-625, 2014
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第60回宇宙科学技術連合講演会

「2F09 超高速衝突に関する国際規格 (ISO11227)の改定検討について」

２０１６年９月７日

九州工業大学
工学研究院 機械知能工学系 宇宙工学部門 教授
赤星 保浩

Background ~ What is Ejecta? ~

The secondary debris generated by the impact of debris to the spacecraft is called Ejecta.

The ejected mass of brittle material is about 100 times larger than the mass of a projectile.

- Large and low velocity fragments
- Emitted by a brittle fracture near free surfaces
- 60-90% of total ejected mass

- Small and high velocity fragments
- Generated from projectile and target

Only less than 1% of total ejected mass

SM/MPAC&SEED units during exposure

- Dust Particle Measurements on ISS
- Estimation of Influences on ISS surface
- Debris Monitoring from ISS
  (Estimation of influences on other exposed devices)
- Three SM/MPAC&SEED units were launched aboard Progress M-45 on 21 August 2001.
- Three units were attached on the outside of the Russian Service Module.


Example of Ejecta

A meteoroid particle was found in the captured particle at ISS Russian module, which is a paint fragment.

The captured particle (secondary debris) was ejected from spacecraft surface impacted by the meteoroid.
Selection of surface coatings and materials for spacecraft to mitigate the generation of small space debris.

Pre NWIP outline for ISO Meeting May 2006

J.C. Mandeville, Mandespace
M. Dinguirard, Onera, Toulouse

Presented at 9th Meeting of Orbital Debris Co-ordination Working Group (ODCWG) at CNES in October 25-27, 2006

Standards Development Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage name</th>
<th>Product name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary stage</td>
<td>Preliminary work item (project)</td>
<td>PWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal stage</td>
<td>New proposal for a work item</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparatory stage</td>
<td>Working draft(s)</td>
<td>WD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee stage</td>
<td>Committee draft(s)</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquiry stage</td>
<td>Draft International Standard</td>
<td>DIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval stage</td>
<td>Final draft International Standard</td>
<td>FDIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication stage</td>
<td>International Standard</td>
<td>IS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Work ➔ Originator

WG Approval ➔ Originator and others from the working group

SC Approval ➔ Working Group

WG Approval ➔ SC14

SC Approval ➔ ISO HQ

ISO HQ Approval ➔ Member Bodies

Member Body Approval (2 Months) ➔

Published at Sept. 15, 2012.
INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 11227

Published at Sept. 15, 2012

Space systems — Test procedure to evaluate spacecraft material ejecta upon hypervelocity impact

This standard is collaboration work with JAXA and IHI, and this activity is partially supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research.

ISO 11227:2012

Space systems -- Test procedure to evaluate spacecraft material ejecta upon hypervelocity impact

http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=57535
**Experimental condition**

**Projectile**
- Material: aluminum (Al1050)
- Shape: sphere
- Size: diameter of 1mm
- Impact velocity: around 5km/sec

**Target**
- Material: synthetic fused silica
- Size: 50 x 50 x 20mm
- Supporter: sponge rubber

**Witness Plate**
- Material: Copper (JIS H3100 C1100-1/4H)
- Hole diameter: 30 mm at its center
- Surface: machined finish

Witness plate is in 100 mm front of target

---

**Snapshot of high-speed video**

![Image of high-speed video](image_url)

- witness plate with hole (D=30mm)
- ejecta
- target
- projectile
Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Alloy and temper</th>
<th>Surface treatment</th>
<th>Distance between T and WP [mm]</th>
<th>Impact velocity [km/sec]</th>
<th>Ejecta mass [mg]</th>
<th>Zenith angles of ejection [deg]</th>
<th>Crater diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Spall diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Cone Diameter [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09-036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-101</td>
<td>C1100P-1/4H</td>
<td>Chemical polishing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-119</td>
<td>C1100P-0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-120</td>
<td>C1100P-1/4H</td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-speed Video  09-101

Experimental results Shot No. 09-101  
V= 4.03 km/sec, Witness plate – Target: 100 mm  
Recoding rate: 250 kfps, Pixels: 312 x 260 pixels
6.3.2 The fundamental analysis of test results will be documented in a tabular form, as shown in the Table 1:

Table 1. Fundamental Analysis for Test results
(xxx: values to be filled in after the tests)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>total amount of ejecta (mg)</th>
<th>target mass before impact (mg)</th>
<th>target mass after impact (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total amount of ejecta (mg)</td>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_e</td>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size distribution of crater diameter, D</td>
<td>number of craters</td>
<td>number of craters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>front side</td>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rear side</td>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>projectile</td>
<td>mass xx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previous Microscope system

Microscope system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnification</th>
<th>Resolution [pixels]</th>
<th>Size per pixel [um]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35 ~ 245</td>
<td>640 x 480</td>
<td>14 ~ 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scanning direction

- scan

- feed

- 0.025~0.05 • 0.05~0.075 • 0.075~0.1 • 0.1~0.15
- 0.15~0.2 • 0.2~0.3 • 0.3~0.4 • 0.4~0.5

No. 09-039

No. 09-101

No. 09-102

No. 09-117

No. 09-119

No. 09-120
6.5 Additional tests

In order to investigate the ejecta process in more detail, if the facility is able to perform such tests, it is recommended that the following additional tests be performed:

a) incidence angle varying from 0° to 75°, in 15° steps;

b) velocity varying from 1 km/s to 16 km/s.

In section 6.5 impact angle of 15°, 30°, 45°, 60° and 75° as well as 0° are recommended. However experimental configuration on oblique impact is not specified in ISO11227.

Experimental Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Impact</th>
<th>Oblique Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Configuration</strong></td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image_url" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact angle</strong></td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>Fused Silica (50 mm×50 mm×20 mm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projectile</strong></td>
<td>Material : Aluminum alloy (A2017)</td>
<td>Diameter : 1 mm, Mass : 1.6mg, Impact velocity : 5 km/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Witness plate</strong></td>
<td>Copper (180 mm×150 mm×2 mm), Polished</td>
<td>Diameter of hole : 30mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Ejecta Mass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Impact angle</th>
<th>Impact velocity [km/sec]</th>
<th>Projectile mass [mg]</th>
<th>Ejecta mass [mg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-072</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>156.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-082</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>144.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-072</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>167.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-074</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>154.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ejecta mass is almost the same between normal impact and oblique impact (θ=45°).

Summary of Experimental Results based on Table 1 in ISO11227

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>0.025 to 0.05 [mm]</th>
<th>0.05 to 0.1 [mm]</th>
<th>0.1 to 1 [mm]</th>
<th>&gt; 1 [mm]</th>
<th>Total number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-072</td>
<td>3215</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3783</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-082</td>
<td>3557</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-072</td>
<td>33180</td>
<td>9594</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-074</td>
<td>33258</td>
<td>7611</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although ejecta mass is almost the same, the number of craters on WP in case of oblique impact is much larger than that of normal impact.
Annex C
(informative)

Ejecta measurement methods

At least two parameters shall be measured during hypervelocity impact tests in order to characterize the ejecta:

— total mass ejected;
— size distribution of fragments (as an option, the velocity of fragments, in magnitude and in direction).

The choice of method is left to the discretion of the user on the premise that there is no absolute measurement method and that it will depend on the instrumentation available at the facility performing the tests. Some guidelines are given in this annex. More details are available in Reference [6]. Recent experimental set-up and preliminary results are described by K. Sugahara et al.\(^\text{[15]}\) and by A. Francesconi et al.\(^\text{[20]}\).

a) The total mass ejected is obtained by measuring the weight of the target before and after the test. It can also be derived from measuring the volume of the impact crater.

b) The size and spatial distribution of the fragments can be obtained with a metal witness plate and adequate conversion equations. A copper plate is preferred (composition different from projectile and target). For a normal impact, it will be located up-range of the target (with a hole in the centre in order to let the projectile go through), at a distance of 50 mm to 100 mm; oblique impacts will require a slightly different set-up (a similar witness plate can also be used behind the target in order to study the down-range ejecta, if perforation occurs). The plate size will typically be 250 mm × 150 mm, with a thickness of 2 mm. The sample holder plate will be a similar aluminium plate, out of which a 60 mm × 60 mm square is cut in the centre in order to study the rear-side ejecta, if any. A possible set-up, such as the one used at the Kyushu Institute of Technology\(^\text{[16]}\) is shown in Figure C.1 and Figure C.2.

The analysis of impacts on the witness plate will provide data on the geometry of the ejecta cloud. Scanning of the witness plate will be made with a medium-power optical microscope and can benefit from automated pattern recognition techniques. As evidenced by Sugahara\(^\text{[15]}\), it is difficult and time-consuming to identify impact features smaller than 25 μm in diameter.

Impact Angle : 15° and 30°
Impact Angle: 45°, 60° and 75°
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In Kyushu Institute of Technology the following subjects on “Hypervelocity Impact” have been conducted since 1997.

(1) Behavior of Debris Cloud
(2) Discharge due to HVI
(3) Test Procedure (ISO11227)

In addition deflection of asteroid and impact test of fan case of jet engine are under study.

Thank you for your attention.

ご静聴ありがとうございました