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Abstract: Multirevolutional periodic quasi-satellite orbits bifurcate from singler evolutionary periodic quasi-satellite orbits and complete one orbital period after several revolutions around the secondary of a three-body system. One of the important characteristics is that their amplitude with respect to the central body changes significantly for each revolution. As a result, it is expected that multirevolutional periodic quasi-satellite orbits can be applied for transfers between quasi-satellite orbits of different amplitudes. In this study, we generate multirevolutional periodic quasi-satellite orbits from the bifurcation points of singler evolutionary ones and consider their dynamical characteristics such as stability and bifurcation points.

1. Introduction

In recent years, small asteroids and planetary satellites become very attractive for space exploration because of their capability to elucidate the solar system formation. Especially for the Martian moons Phobos and Deimos, several countries and international teams are currently planning to send probes and explore them [1][2]. Martian Moons eXploration, or MMX, is the next flagship mission being developed at the Japan Aerospace eXploration Agency (JAXA) and will be launched in 2024 [2]. The goal of MMX is to retrieve pristine samples from the surface of Phobos and bring them back to the Earth. To that end, the spacecraft will first orbit Phobos for steady observations and precious scientific measurements using singler evolutionary periodic quasi-satellite orbits, or SP-QSOs. SP-QSOs are retrograde orbits found in the three-body system that repeat after one single revolution around Phobos as shown in the left-hand side of Figure 1. While the characteristics of SP-QSOs and quasi-periodic invariant tori nearby have been elucidated by many researchers [3][4][5][6], it is still unclear how to design appropriate strategy for orbit transfer, such as transfer between SP-QSOs of different sizes and insertion from Mars-centric orbits to SP-QSOs.

In this paper, we will introduce multirevolutional periodic quasi-satellite orbits, or MP-QSOs, to explore novel transfer opportunities between different SP-QSOs. MP-QSOs are retrograde orbits that repeat after multiple revolutions around the target small body and can be obtained as bifurcated solutions from SP-QSOs [7]. As shown in the right-hand side of Figure 1, their amplitude changes drastically in each revolution enabling high-resolution measurements during the coasting phase of the transfer. Although this feature might be of interest for missions like MMX, the dynamical characteristics of MP-QSOs are yet to be fully explored. Accordingly, the main goal of our research is to generate MP-QSOs and understand fundamental characteristics of them. Based on this analysis, we will also introduce a transfer example between different-altitude SP-QSOs.

The dynamical model assumed in this research is explained in the second section. Next, the method to generate MP-QSOs and its results are shown in the third section. Finally, an example of orbit transfer between SP-QSOs of different size is shown in the fourth section. Conclusions and final remarks are summarized in the fifth section.
2. Dynamical Model

In this research, three-body problem of Mars, Phobos and a spacecraft is assumed. Considering that the eccentricity of Phobos’ orbit is very small (0.0151 [6]), and the mass of a spacecraft is relatively much smaller than those of Mars and Phobos, the problem can be dealt through the equations of the circular restricted three-body problem, or CR3BP. Defining the state vector in non-dimensional Mars-Phobos barycentric synodic frame as \( \mathbf{x} \equiv [x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}]^T \), the equations of motion of CR3BP are expressed as

\[
\dot{x} = f_{CR3BP}(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{z} \\
2y - \frac{\partial \bar{U}(x, y, z)}{\partial x} \\
-2x - \frac{\partial \bar{U}(x, y, z)}{\partial y} \\
-\frac{\partial \bar{U}(x, y, z)}{\partial z}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.1)

The function \( \bar{U}(x, y, z) \) is called the effective potential defined by Equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

\[
\bar{U}(x, y, z) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - \frac{1-\mu}{\rho_1} - \frac{\mu}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{2} \mu(1-\mu)
\]

(2.2)

\[
\mu \equiv \frac{M_{Phobos}}{M_{Mars} + M_{Phobos}}
\]

(2.3)

\[
\rho_1 \equiv \sqrt{(x+\mu)^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \rho_2 \equiv \sqrt{(x+\mu-1)^2 + y^2 + z^2}
\]

(2.4)

\( M_{Mars} \) and \( M_{Phobos} \) are the masses of Mars and Phobos, \( \rho_1 \) is the distance between Mars and the spacecraft and \( \rho_2 \) is the distance between Phobos and the spacecraft. In this dynamical system, there is only one conservative quantity \( C \) defined by Equation (2.5). It is called Jacobi constant,

\[
C \equiv -(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2) - 2\bar{U}.
\]

(2.5)
3. Generation of MP-QSOs

In this section, the method to generate MP-QSO families is explained. There are three main steps: generation of SP-QSO family, detection of MP-QSO bifurcation points and generation of MP-QSO families.

3.1. Generation of SP-QSO Family

MP-QSO families may be generated from bifurcations of the SP-QSO family branch [7]. As a result, the first step for generating MP-QSOs is to analyze the SP-QSO family branch.

The fundamental problem to generate periodic orbits is to find sets of variables \( X \equiv [x_o', T]' \) which satisfy Equation (3.1):

\[
F(X) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(0, T, x_o) - x_o \\ y_o \end{bmatrix} = 0,
\] (3.1)

where \( x_o \equiv [x_o, y_o, z_o, \dot{x}_o, \dot{y}_o, \dot{z}_o]' \) is the initial state vector, \( T \) is propagation time from \( x_o \) and \( \varphi(0, T, x_o) \) is the terminal state vector obtained after propagating from \( x_o \) for time \( T \). The upper component of Equation (3.1) is called periodicity condition and ensures the periodicity of the orbit. The lower component is called phase condition by which \( x_o \) is determined uniquely. \( X \) of SP-QSO family and MP-QSO exist continuously [7], so we can generate a continuous curve of periodic orbits in a real coordinate space which has the same number of dimensions with \( X \) by applying predictor-corrector scheme. In this step, we apply pseudo-arclength continuation [8] as a predictor and shooting method as a corrector.

Pseudo-arclength continuation is a continuation method based on the tangential vector to the solution curve \( F(X) = 0 \). Defining \( \tilde{X} \) as a solution of \( F(X) = 0 \), we obtain \( \tilde{X}' \) as the unit tangential vector to the curve \( F(X) = 0 \) at \( \tilde{X} \) and \( \Delta s \) as a certain step size of prediction. Then, a first guess for the next correction step \( X_{f/g} \) along \( \tilde{X}' \) can be obtained:

\[
X_{f/g} = \tilde{X} + \Delta s \tilde{X}'.
\] (3.2)

In the correction step, a guess \( X_g \) is iteratively updated under a constraint defined by Equation (3.3),

\[
(X_g - \tilde{X}) \cdot \tilde{X}' - \Delta s = 0.
\] (3.3)

Equation (3.3) constrains \( X_g \) on the orthogonal plane with \( X' \) so that \( X_g \) can converge to the solution for any tangential directions.

Finally, the equation to be solved in correction step is defined by Equation (3.4). In this step, the equations of motion of CR3BP is re-scaled by \( T \) so that propagation time can be normalized for any \( T \).

\[
F_g(X) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(0, T, x_o) - x_o \\ y_o \end{bmatrix} = 0
\] (3.4)

By merging Equation (3.2) with (3.4), the SP-QSO family branch can be generated.

3.2. Detection of Bifurcation Points to MP-QSO Families

The second step is to detect the bifurcation points from the SP-QSO family to MP-QSO ones with a set of parameters called stability indices [7][9]. These new parameters indicate linear stability of each periodic orbit. When a monodromy matrix of a periodic orbit has \( [1,1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4] \) as its eigenvalues, the stability indices are defined by Equation (3.5).

\[
b_j \equiv \lambda_j + \frac{1}{\lambda_j}, \quad j = 1,2
\] (3.5)

When \( |b_j| < 2 \) and real, the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix consist of complex conjugate pairs with unitary magnitude. In this case, the orbit is stable along j-th eigenvector. When the stability index reaches any resonant
value as described in Equation (3.6), the orbit corresponds to a bifurcation point to the $n$-fold MP-QSO family [7]. Here, it is possible to bifurcate from the SP-QSO branch and generate entire families of MP-QSOs. In the following, we consider bifurcated branches of the form $(d, n) = (1,3), (1,4), (1,5), (1,6), (1,7), (1,8), (1,9), (1,10)$ and $(1,11)$. Figure 1 (right) discloses an example of a 1:5 MP-QSO.

$$b_j = 2 \cos 2\pi \frac{d}{n}, \quad d, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.6)$$

Figure 2 shows the stability indices of SP-QSO family for different values of the Jacobi constant. The red curve corresponds to $b_1$ and the green one to $b_2$. The black broken lines express the values of bifurcation calculated from Equation (3.6), so the intersection points of those lines and the colored curves are bifurcation points to MP-QSO families. The first eigenvalues pairs $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1)$ have in-plane eigenvectors, while the second pairs $(\lambda_2, \lambda_2)$ have out-of-plane ones, which means the bifurcation points on $b_1$ curve corresponds to in-plane bifurcation and $b_2$ curve to out-of-plane bifurcation. In this research, we generate MP-QSOs only from in-plane bifurcation points shown as black points in Figure 2 because it is considered unlikely to design more efficient transfer between in-plane SP-QSOs via out-of-plane MP-QSOs than in-plane MP-QSOs.

![Figure 2. Stability indices profile of SP-QSO family along C. The red curve corresponds to $b_1$ related with in-plane bifurcation and the green one to $b_2$ related with out-of-plane bifurcation. The intersection points of the black horizontal lines and the curves are bifurcation points.](image)

### 3.3. Generation of MP-QSO Families

From each of bifurcation point, we apply predictor-corrector scheme again to generate MP-QSO families. In this step, pseudo-arclength continuation is applied as a predictor while multiple shooting method is applied as a corrector for robust convergence.

Defining $X \equiv [x_i^T, \cdots, x_i^T, T]^T$ where $x_i = [x_i, y_i, z_i, \dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i]^T$ is the state vector of i-th node, the equation to be solved is

$$F_M(X) = \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi(x_1, 0, 1 \frac{1}{N} T) - x_2 \\
\vdots \\
\varphi\left(x_{N-1}, \frac{N-2}{N} T, \frac{N-1}{N} T\right) - x_N \\
\varphi\left(x_N, \frac{N-1}{N} T, T\right) - x_1 \\
y'_1 \\
(\dot{X}_g - \dot{X}) \cdot \dot{X}' - \Delta s
\end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By merging Equation (3.2) with (3.7), we can generate MP-QSO for each pair of $(d, n)$.

Figure 3 shows the generated SP-QSO and MP-QSO families with their maximum absolute value of $b_j$ in $(C, x_o)$ plane. In parallel, Figure 3 (right) displays the amplitude distribution along the $\eta$-axis which is the along-track axis of Phobos centric synodic frame. In Figure 3, the curves except for those indicated as “SP-QSO” are MP-QSO’s. As we see in the left-hand side of Figure 3, there are many MP-QSOs in which maximum absolute
values of stability indices are less than or a little larger than 2, which means they are stable or weakly unstable and applicable to trajectory design. We also see in the right-hand side of Figure 3 that MP-QSOs cover a very large band of amplitude and there are many choices for transferring between SP-QSOs.

Figure 3. The solution curves of MP-QSO families with their stability information (left) and their amplitude distribution along $\eta$-axis (right). The SP-QSO family is indicated as “SP-QSO”. The color of each dots indicates the maximum absolute value of stability indices. There are many MP-QSOs which are stable or weakly unstable with large ranges of amplitude.

4. Application to Orbit Transfer

In this section, we show a transfer example between SP-QSOs via MP-QSO. Specifically, we pursue a transfer from the SP-QSO which has 119.7km amplitude along $\eta$-axis to the one with 48.8km amplitude using two impulsive $\Delta V$. To that end, we adopt a MP-QSO in the branch of $(d, n) = (1, 5)$. In this case, we can design those two $\Delta V$ only in the figure of amplitude distribution as shown in the left-hand side of Figure 4. Because both two maneuver points are fixed on $\eta$-axis, we can calculate $\Delta V_1$ and $\Delta V_2$ as differences of Jacobi constant between the initial SP-QSO and the transfer MP-QSO, and the transfer MP-QSO and the terminal SP-QSO. The actual transfer trajectory is shown as a red curve in the right-hand side of Figure 4. The blue and green curves are the initial and terminal orbits respectively. The spacecraft flies along a transfer trajectory with 6.7352m/s as the total $\Delta V$.

Several problems about transfer design remain. At first, if the maneuver points are fixed on one of three axes, we cannot set the initial and terminal orbits independently. It is because a pair of MP-QSO’s amplitude is determined by only one parameter, which means there is only one degree of freedom to specify a pair, while two are needed to choose both initial and terminal orbits. To solve this problem, it is needed to make a strategy with free departure or arrival points. Also, it is not clear how much the strategy with MP-QSOs relaxes the requirement of spacecrafts and operation than the conventional one such as application of Hill-Clohessy-Wiltshire equations [10]. It is needed to compare those strategies quantitatively from various points of view.
Figure 4. Transfer Strategy on the amplitude distribution figure (left) and the transfer trajectories with the initial and terminal orbits in Phobos centric synodic frame (right). As shown in the left panel, the $\Delta V_1$ and $\Delta V_2$ can be calculated as simple differences of Jacobi constants. In the right panel, the red curve is the transfer trajectory and blue and green curves are the initial and terminal orbits respectively. The spacecraft flies along the colored arrows.

5. Conclusion and Future Work
This paper has explored the application of multirevolutional periodic quasi-satellite orbits for transfer problems between retrograde orbits around the secondary of three-body systems. We have confirmed there are many orbits which are stable or weakly unstable and applicable to trajectory design. Then we have shown an example of transfer design between different SP-QSOs. Under the constraints of maneuver points, we could calculate $\Delta V$ as difference of Jacobi constants between those orbits. Future work will focus on improving the transfer design by addressing the complexities and issues outlined in this paper.
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